

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2021.04.010

盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助龈下刮治术及根面平整术对慢性牙周炎患者龈下牙周致病菌和龈沟液炎性因子的影响*

毛甜甜 黄丽 彭若冰 刘林花 彭友俭[△]

(武汉大学人民医院口腔科 湖北 武汉 430060)

摘要 目的:探讨盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助龈下刮治术及根面平整术(FM-SRP)对慢性牙周炎(CP)患者龈下牙周致病菌和龈沟液炎性因子的影响。方法:选择2015年10月到2019年10月期间我院收治的82例CP患者,根据随机数字表法分为对照组(n=41)和观察组(n=41),对照组给予FM-SRP,观察组在对照组基础上联合盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助治疗,比较两组疗效、牙周指标、龈下牙周致病菌和龈沟液炎性因子情况,统计两组不良反应情况。结果:与对照组总有效率70.73%(29/41)相比,观察组治疗后的总有效率90.24%(37/41)更高($P<0.05$)。治疗后,两组龈沟出血指数(SBI)、附着水平(AL)、菌斑指数(PLI)、牙周袋深度(PD)均下降,且观察组低于对照组($P<0.05$)。治疗后,两组转化生长因子-β(TGF-β)、白介素-6(IL-6)、肿瘤坏死因子-α(TNF-α)均下降,且观察组低于对照组($P<0.05$)。对比两组不良反应无差异($P>0.05$)。治疗后,两组伴防线杆菌、牙龈卟啉单胞菌比例均下降,且观察组低于对照组($P<0.05$)。结论:盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助FM-SRP治疗CP患者,可有效消除致病菌,缓解炎性反应,恢复牙周生态平衡,且不增加不良反应发生率,疗效确切。

关键词:盐酸米诺环素软膏;龈下刮治术;根面平整术;慢性牙周炎;牙周致病菌;炎性因子

中图分类号:R781.4 **文献标识码:**A **文章编号:**1673-6273(2021)04-650-04

Effects of Minocycline Hydrochloride Ointment Assisted Subgingival Scaling and Root Planing on Subgingival Periodontal Pathogens and Gingival Crevicular Fluid Inflammatory Factors in Patients with Chronic Periodontitis*

MAO Tian-tian, HUANG Li, PENG Ruo-bing, LIU Lin-hua, PENG You-jian[△]

(Department of Dental, People's Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, 430060, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To investigate the effects of minocycline hydrochloride ointment assisted subgingival scaling and root planing (FM-SRP) on subgingival periodontal pathogens and gingival crevicular fluid inflammatory factors in patients with chronic periodontitis (CP). **Methods:** A total of 82 patients with CP who were admitted to our hospital from October 2015 to October 2019 were selected, they were divided into control group (n=41) and observation group (n=41) according to random number table method. The control group was given FM-SRP, and the observation group was treated with minocycline hydrochloride ointment on the basis of the control group. The efficacy, periodontal indexes, subgingival periodontal pathogens and gingival crevicular fluid inflammatory factors were compared between the two groups, the adverse reactions of the two groups were counted. **Results:** Compared with the total effective rate of 70.73% (29/41) in the control group, the total effective rate of 90.24% (37/41) in the observation group after treatment was higher ($P<0.05$). After treatment, The sulcus bleeding index (SBI), attachment level (AL), plaque index (PLI) and periodontal pocket depth (PD) of the two groups decreased, and the observation group was lower than the control group ($P<0.05$). After treatment, the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) levels of the two groups decreased, and the observation group was lower than the control group ($P<0.05$). There was no difference in adverse reactions between the two groups ($P>0.05$). After treatment, the proportion of *Bacillus parahaemolyticus* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* decreased in both groups, and the observation group was lower than the control group ($P<0.05$). **Conclusion:** Minocycline hydrochloride ointment assisted FM-SRP in the treatment of patients with CP can effectively eliminate the pathogenic bacteria, alleviate the inflammatory reaction, restore the periodontal ecological balance, and does not increase the incidence of adverse reactions, with definite curative effect.

Key words: Minocycline hydrochloride ointment; Subgingival scaling; Root planing; Chronic periodontitis; Periodontal pathogens; Inflammatory factors

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R781.4 **Document code:** A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2021)04-650-04

* 基金项目:湖北省卫生计生委科研基金项目(WJ2017F069)

作者简介:毛甜甜(1983-),女,博士,主治医师,研究方向:口腔内科,E-mail: maott83@163.com

△ 通讯作者:彭友俭(1965-),男,博士,主任医师,研究方向:口腔正畸学,E-mail: abc19908656197@126.com

(收稿日期:2020-09-06 接受日期:2020-09-30)

前言

慢性牙周炎(CP)为口腔科常见的一种感染性疾病,该疾病可发生于任何年龄,但多见于成年人,且35岁以后的患病率明显升高^[1,2]。CP的主要症状表现为牙龈出血、牙龈炎症、牙周袋形成以及牙槽骨吸收等,影响了患者的口腔健康、咀嚼、美观及心理健康,降低生活质量^[3,4]。目前临床治疗CP的主要方式为龈下刮治术及根面平整术(FM-SRP),并服用抗生素辅助治疗。上述疗法虽能消除龈下病原菌,但术后会引起感染,从而导致炎症的发生,这对患者往后的恢复是不利的,且抗生素口服的方式无法达到最佳的牙龈局部药物浓度,降低治疗效果^[5,6]。盐酸米诺环素软膏是一种有着抗菌高效、易渗透优点的抗生素,既往常用于牙周疾病的治疗中^[7,8]。本研究通过探讨盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助FM-SRP治疗CP患者的应用情况,分析上述治疗对患者龈下牙周致病菌和龈沟液炎性因子的影响,整理如下。

1 资料与方法

1.1 临床资料

选择2015年10月到2019年10月期间我院收治的82例CP患者,本研究经医院伦理委员会审核批准。纳入标准:(1)诊断标准参考《牙周病学》^[9];(2)入组前6个月内未接受过任何牙周治疗;(3)签订知情同意书者;(4)口内可行牙周评价牙≥20颗,磨牙数≥4颗。排除标准:(1)妊娠或哺乳期妇女;(2)伴有其他慢性或系统炎症患者;(3)伴有严重内分泌系统疾病、心脑血管疾病者;(4)治疗期间不愿戒酒、戒烟者;(5)肝肾功能有严重障碍者;(6)对本研究用药有禁忌症者;(7)正畸或口腔黏膜病患者。根据随机数字表法将患者分为对照组(n=41)和观察组(n=41),其中对照组男、女分别为24例、17例,年龄44~68岁,平均(49.01±3.16)岁;病程2~7年,平均(4.42±0.71)年。观察组男、女分别为25例、16例,年龄45~66岁,平均(48.86±3.27)岁;病程3~7年,平均(4.24±0.73)年。对比两组临床资料无差异($P>0.05$),均衡可比。

1.2 方法

两组患者入院后进行全口曲面断层片检查,口服伐昔洛韦[四川汇诚药业有限公司,国药准字H20093538,规格:0.3 g],500 mg/d,2次/d,连用1周。用药结束48 h后给予手术治疗,所有手术操作均由同一组医师完成操作,在局部麻醉下进行

FM-SRP,术后采用3%的双氧水冲洗患者牙周袋。术后禁食辛辣厚味、过冷过热等刺激性食物,合理应用牙间隙刷,常规服用抗生素、抗炎药物治疗。在上述方法上,观察组联合盐酸米诺环素软膏(规格:0.5 g,Sunstar INC.,注册证号:H20150106)治疗,龈下刮治后,用软膏注满患部牙周袋内,1次/周,连用4周。

1.3 观察指标

(1)观察两组治疗后的总有效率。总有效率=显效率+有效率^[10]。显效:牙龈指数减小≥1/2,患者牙周袋深度(PD)减小≥2 mm,临床症状消失。有效:症状减轻,有好转,PD减小≥1 mm并小于2 mm。无效:症状没有减轻甚至恶化。(2)记录两组治疗前后的牙周指标情况,包括龈沟出血指数(SBI)、附着水平(AL)、菌斑指数(PLI)、PD^[11]。其中SBI根据病情程度进行评估,以数字0~5表示病症程度,0和1分别代表完全和基本健康,2、3、4和5依次代表轻微、明显、较重和重度龈炎,数字越大病情越重。AL为探针深度减去釉牙骨质界至龈缘的距离。PLI以数字0~3分别表示近龈缘处牙面无菌斑;近龈缘处牙面有薄菌斑,以肉眼观察不可见,探针划过可见;龈缘区或牙邻面肉眼可见中等量菌斑覆盖,但不超过2/3;菌斑覆盖牙面2/3或以上。PD为牙周探针测量的牙龈与牙齿之间的龈沟深度。(3)记录两组治疗期间不良反应情况。(4)于治疗前后于舌侧近中、颊侧近中、颊侧远中、舌侧远中四处以滤纸条吸取龈沟液,若吸潮纸尖表面有污染物,则重新提取龈沟液,若没有则置于EP试剂管中,加PBS缓冲液,经3300 r/min离心12 min,离心半径14 cm,取上清液保存待测。采用酶联免疫吸附法检测转化生长因子-β(TGF-β)、白介素-6(IL-6)、肿瘤坏死因子-α(TNF-α),试剂盒均购自北京键平九星生物科技有限公司,按试剂盒说明书严格操作。(5)治疗前后,收集并测定两组口腔两个象限内的PD>5 mm处的斑菌。

1.4 统计学方法

数据采用SPSS26.0软件分析,计数资料以例数及率表示,行 χ^2 检验,计量资料用(\bar{x} ±s)表示,行t检验, $P<0.05$ 为差异有统计学意义。

2 结果

2.1 总有效率

与对照组总有效率70.73%(29/41)对比,观察组治疗后的总有效率90.24%(37/41)更高($P<0.05$),见表1。

表1 两组总有效率比较 [例(%)]
Table 1 Comparison of total effective rate between two groups [n(%)]

Groups	Remarkable effect	Effective	Invalid	Total effective rate
Control group(n=41)	11(26.83)	18(43.90)	12(29.27)	29(70.73)
Observation group(n=41)	16(39.02)	21(51.22)	4(9.76)	37(90.24)
χ^2				6.733
P				0.009

2.2 牙周指标

治疗前对比两组牙周指标无差异($P>0.05$),治疗后两组SBI、AL、PLI、PD比治疗前更低,且观察组比对照组低($P<0.05$),见表2。

2.3 龈沟液炎性因子

治疗前对比两组炎性因子无差异($P>0.05$),治疗后TGF-β、IL-6、TNF-α比治疗前更低,且观察组较对照组更低($P<0.05$),见表3。

表 2 两组牙周指标情况比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)Table 2 Comparison of periodontal indexes between the two groups($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	SBI		AL(mm)		PLI		PD(mm)	
	Before treatment	After treatment						
Control group (n=41)	3.19± 0.27	1.52± 0.23*	4.39± 0.47	2.84± 0.31*	2.43± 0.27	1.51± 0.24*	4.27± 0.32	2.89± 0.29*
Observation group(n=41)	3.13± 0.32	0.93± 0.17*	4.35± 0.38	1.33± 0.38*	2.48± 0.35	0.93± 0.16*	4.33± 0.36	1.73± 0.23*
t	0.918	13.209	0.424	19.716	0.724	12.875	0.798	20.067
P	0.362	0.000	0.673	0.000	0.471	0.000	0.427	0.000

Note: compared with before treatment, *P<0.05.

表 3 两组龈沟液炎性因子指标比较($\bar{x} \pm s$, pg/mL)Table 3 Comparison of gingival crevicular fluid inflammatory factors indexes between the two groups($\bar{x} \pm s$, pg/mL)

Groups	TGF-β		IL-6		TNF-α	
	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment
Control group(n=41)	24.78± 2.37	16.42± 2.33*	23.56± 2.39	14.36± 2.32*	24.88± 3.27	17.64± 3.29*
Observation grou(n=41)	24.52± 3.48	12.16± 2.41*	22.93± 2.35	8.39± 1.35*	24.94± 3.39	11.87± 2.18*
t	0.395	8.137	1.204	14.241	0.082	9.361
P	0.694	0.000	0.232	0.000	0.935	0.000

Note: compared with before treatment, *P<0.05.

2.4 龈下牙周致病菌

两组治疗前伴防线杆菌、牙龈卟啉单胞菌比例对比差异未见统计学意义($P>0.05$),治疗后两组伴防线杆菌、牙龈卟啉单胞菌比例比治疗前更低,且观察组较对照组更低($P<0.05$),见

表 4。

2.5 不良反应

对比两组不良反应无差异($P>0.05$),见表 5。

表 4 两组龈下牙周致病菌比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)Table 4 Comparison of subgingival periodontal pathogens between the two groups($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	Bacillus parahaemolyticus		Porphyromonas gingivalis	
	Before treatment	After treatment	Before treatment	After treatment
Control group(n=41)	0.026± 0.002	0.021± 0.002*	0.48± 0.07	0.36± 0.09*
Observation grou(n=41)	0.026± 0.003	0.015± 0.001*	0.47± 0.09	0.22± 0.07*
t	0.000	17.181	0.915	7.862
P	1.000	0.000	0.363	0.000

Note: compared with before treatment, *P<0.05.

表 5 两组不良反应发生率比较 [例(%)]

Table 5 Comparison of the incidence of adverse reactions between the two groups [n(%)]

Groups	Dizzy	Nausea	Itch	Total incidence rate
Control group(n=41)	2(4.88)	1(2.44)	1(2.44)	4(9.76)
Observation grou(n=41)	3(7.32)	2(4.88)	1(2.44)	6(14.63)
χ^2				0.456
P				0.500

3 讨论

经济的发展,改变了人们的生活与饮食习惯,生活节奏的

加快也带动了人们不良生活习惯的养成,如不按时刷牙、饮食不健康、不注重口腔清洁等,这些容易造成牙齿牙龈损伤,进而引起口腔溃疡、牙龈出血等口腔疾病^[12-14]。CP 作为由细菌感染

引起的口腔科常见疾病，据以往数据报道显示^[15]，全球约有75%的成年人至少有过CP史，CP已成为成年人牙齿缺失的主要原因之一。CP的发病机制复杂，以牙菌斑中病原微生物为始动因子，主要是由于厌氧菌以菌斑的形式黏附于牙体表面，加上宿主自身身体的易感性，导致附着于牙体表面的菌斑的菌体在发生裂解后会释放出大量有强致炎作用的毒素，对牙周支持组织部位造成一系列的破坏，最终引起牙齿松动或失牙^[16-18]。牙周基础治疗(包括口腔卫生指导、龈下刮治、根面平整等)作为CP的主要治疗手段，多数情况下可将患者龈上龈下的菌斑清除干净，同时还可破坏患者牙周细菌的生存环境，为牙周组织的再生创造有利条件^[19,20]。但是上述疗法容易受到个体差异性的影响，因为患者的牙根、牙周袋形态不尽相同，牙周环境也存在差异，常规基础治疗并不能控制所有患者牙周袋中的细菌感染，易导致病情反复，因此通常需要配合药物进行治疗。

盐酸米诺环素软膏具有广谱抗菌、作用时间长、活性强的特点，可有效抑制厌氧菌的繁殖增生^[21]。同时该软膏还能极大的降低机体胶原酶的活性，从而有效降低牙周组织的损坏程度，降低骨吸收，刺激患者牙周组织的再生，增强牙周细胞的附着能力，并促进其更快生长，改善患者症状^[22]。与口服抗生素不同的是，盐酸米诺环素软膏可直接注入到患病部位并发挥作用，从而使病变组织周围药物浓度维持在一定水平，起到长久高效的杀菌效果^[23]。本研究结果还显示，盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助FM-SRP治疗CP患者，能有效促进牙周相关指标的改善，进而提高治疗效果。既往研究结果表明^[24,25]，随着CP的不断发展，患者局部患病部位的炎性因子可发生转移现象，例如龈沟液部位的TGF-β、IL-6、TNF-α等炎性因子可穿透溃烂上皮组织，从而转移到人体内循环中，导致局部炎性反应进展为全身炎性反应。其中IL-6可直接损害牙周支持组织，TNF-α是一种细胞因子，可对细胞产生刺激，在人体淋巴细胞和巨噬细胞生成，TGF-β是免疫稳态和免疫耐受的重要促进因子，可促进炎症性疾病的发生。本研究中，盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助FM-SRP治疗可缓解CP患者机体炎性反应。可能与盐酸米诺环素软膏本身具备较强的抗菌、抗炎作用，从而纠正机体免疫紊乱状态有关。以往报道表明^[26,27]，在预防牙周病方面，维持好伴防线杆菌和牙龈卟啉单胞菌的菌落平衡很重要，上述菌群的大量繁殖可破坏龈下牙周环境，引发菌群失衡，进而引起CP的产生。因此，观察伴防线杆菌、牙龈卟啉单胞菌的比例有助于判断CP的疾病进程及治疗效果。本研究中两组患者牙周致病菌比例均下降，且盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助FM-SRP治疗的下降更明显，提示联合方案抗菌效果显著。盐酸米诺环素软膏可有效抑制菌斑微生物，特别是在抑制牙周致病菌上具有显著的功效，并能通过抑制牙周结缔和骨组织的破坏，达到降低骨吸收的效果，有利于牙周组织细胞在根面上的增殖和再附着，从而消除致病菌的菌斑附着^[28-30]。此外，盐酸米诺环素软膏的安全性一直存在着一定的争议，而观察两组安全性可知，CP患者在FM-SRP治疗后联合盐酸米诺环素软膏，不会增加不良反应发生率，安全有效。

综上所述，盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助FM-SRP治疗CP患者，可有效消除致病菌，恢复牙周生态平衡，且不增加不良反应

发生率，疗效确切，其内在机制可能与降低TGF-β、IL-6、TNF-α等炎性因子水平有关。

参 考 文 献(References)

- [1] Cardoso EM, Reis C, Manzanares-Céspedes MC. Chronic periodontitis, inflammatory cytokines, and interrelationship with other chronic diseases[J]. Postgrad Med, 2018, 130(1): 98-104
- [2] Mataftsi M, Koukos G, Sakellari D. Prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes and pre-diabetes in chronic periodontitis patients assessed by an HbA1c chairside screening protocol [J]. Clin Oral Investig, 2019, 23(12): 4365-4370
- [3] Imai K, Ogata Y. How Does Epstein-Barr Virus Contribute to Chronic Periodontitis? [J]. Int J Mol Sci, 2020, 21(6): 1940
- [4] Pólvora TLS, Nobre ÁVV, Tirapelli C, et al. Relationship between human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1) infection and chronic periodontitis[J]. Expert Rev Clin Immunol, 2018, 14(4): 315-327
- [5] Jain A, Gupta J, Bansal D, et al. Effect of scaling and root planing as monotherapy on glycemic control in patients of Type 2 diabetes with chronic periodontitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis[J]. J Indian Soc Periodontol, 2019, 23(4): 303-310
- [6] 高云云, 周淑珍, 陈坚, 等. 局部应用盐酸米诺环素软膏辅助龈下刮治术及根面平整术对慢性牙周炎患者牙周的影响[J]. 中华全科医学, 2018, 16(4): 546-548
- [7] Shao W, Xiao F, Xu ZX, et al. Treatment of severe periodontic-endodontic combined lesions with minocycline hydrochloride ointment combined with mineral trioxide aggregate [J]. Exp Ther Med, 2018, 16(2): 1389-1396
- [8] Tian B. Clinical observation of minocycline hydrochloride ointment in the treatment of early peri-implantitis[J]. Pak J Pharm Sci, 2019, 32(5(Special)): 2535-2538
- [9] 曹采方. 牙周病学[M]. 北京: 人民卫生出版社, 2003: 95-126
- [10] 梁楠, 翁巧风, 赵翀, 等. 龈沟液中骨硬化蛋白对慢性牙周炎患者疗效评价的临床价值 [J]. 现代生物医学进展, 2018, 18(22): 4366-4369
- [11] 朱玉平. 二次龈下刮治术治疗牙周病的效果及对探诊深度、附着丧失、龈沟出血指数及菌斑指数的影响 [J]. 实用临床医药杂志, 2019, 23(13): 53-55, 59
- [12] Anaya-Saavedra G. Advances in oral diseases: On the shoulders of a giant[J]. Oral Dis, 2019, 25(8): 1840-1842
- [13] Peacock ME, Arce RM, Cutler CW. Periodontal and other oral manifestations of immunodeficiency diseases [J]. Oral Dis, 2017, 23(7): 866-888
- [14] da Costa LFNP, Amaral CDSF, Barbirato DDS, et al. Chlorhexidine mouthwash as an adjunct to mechanical therapy in chronic periodontitis: A meta-analysis[J]. J Am Dent Assoc, 2017, 148(5): 308-318
- [15] Eke PI, Borgnakke WS, Genco RJ. Recent epidemiologic trends in periodontitis in the USA[J]. Periodontol 2000, 2020, 82(1): 257-267
- [16] Van der Velden U. What exactly distinguishes aggressive from chronic periodontitis: is it mainly a difference in the degree of bacterial invasiveness[J]. Periodontol 2000, 2017, 75(1): 24-44
- [17] Joseph B, Janam P, Narayanan S, et al. Is Antimicrobial Photodynamic Therapy Effective as an Adjunct to Scaling and Root Planing in Patients with Chronic Periodontitis? A Systematic Review [J]. Biomolecules, 2017, 7(4): 79

(下转第 672 页)

- [17] Siyuan Z, Shaoqiang S, Yuqiang H, et al. Monitoring and Characterization of Thermal Lesions by High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound and Microwave Ablation Using Ultrasonic Nakagami Imaging [J]. IEEE Trans Med Imaging, 2018, 37(7): 1701-1710
- [18] Haoyi J, Peng L, Yunhao W, et al. Exosomal zinc transporter ZIP4 promotes cancer growth and is a novel diagnostic biomarker for pancreatic cancer[J]. Cancer Sci, 2018, 109(9): 2946-2956
- [19] Kosuke M, Mamoru T, Ken K, et al. Alleviating Pancreatic Cancer-Associated Pain Using Endoscopic Ultrasound-Guided Neurolysis [J]. Cancers, 2018, 10(2): 50-59
- [20] 郭江, 李洪璐, 李常青. TACE 联合微波消融治疗中晚期肝癌的临床疗效分析[J]. 川北医学院学报, 2019, 34(2): 63-66
- [21] 胡红, 许芙蓉, 但汉丽, 等. 超声造影评价高强度聚焦超声消融治疗子宫肌瘤疗效的价值[J]. 川北医学院学报, 2019, 24(3): 188-192
- [22] Liu B, Wang Y, Tian S, et al. Salvage treatment of NSCLC recurrence after first-line chemotherapy failure: Iodine-131 seed brachytherapy or microwave ablation? [J]. Thorac Cancer, 2020, 11(7): 233-241
- [23] Kwiek B, Paluch U, Kowalewski C, et al. Facial Hypertrophic Port-Wine Stain Treatment Combining Large Spot 532 nm Laser, High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound and Traction Threads [J]. Dermatol Surg, 2019, 23(9): 11-23
- [24] 张发鹏, 袁荣发, 张引, 等. 腹腔镜下射频消融治疗小肝癌的临床疗效分析[J]. 中国普通外科杂志, 2018, 27(1): 35-41
- [25] Lang BHH, Woo YC, Chiu WH. Two-year efficacy of single-session high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) ablation of benign thyroid nodules[J]. Eur Radiol, 2018, 29(1): 121-129
- [26] Huang H, Ran J, Xiao Z, et al. Reasons for different therapeutic effects of high-intensity focused ultrasound ablation on excised uterine fibroids with different signal intensities on T2-weighted MRI: a study of histopathological characteristics [J]. Int J Hyperthermia, 2019, 67(18): 1-8
- [27] Chao Yu, Shiyu Chen, Yuntao Guo. Oncogenic TRIM31 confers gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer via activating the NF-κB signaling pathway[J]. J Cell Physiol, 2018, 233(3): 343-352
- [28] 胡南华, 何胜利, 沈婕, 等. 不同声功率聚焦超声治疗中晚期胰腺癌近期疼痛评价及生存分析 [J]. 中国超声医学杂志, 2019, 35(4): 339-342
- [29] Gupta P, Srivastava A. Non-Fourier transient thermal analysis of biological tissue phantoms subjected to high intensity focused ultrasound [J]. Int J Heat Mass Transf, 2019, 136(6): 1052-1063
- [30] 宋天亮. 高强度聚焦超声治疗胰腺癌的剂量与疗效研究[D]. 郑州大学, 2014
- [31] Wu Y, Doroshow JH. Abstract 5741: Dexamethasone suppresses cytokine-induced dual oxidase 2 and VEGF-A expression in human pancreatic cancer cells in vitro and pancreatic cancer growth in xenografts[J]. Can Res, 2018, 78(13): 5741-5741
- [32] Nan L, Qin T, Xiao Y, et al. Pancreatic Stellate Cells Facilitate Perineural Invasion of Pancreatic Cancer via HGF/c-Met Pathway [J]. Cell Transplant, 2019, 28(1): e5177
- [33] 万剑慧, 邓周峰, 李金慧, 等. 不同功率高强度聚焦超声治疗胰腺癌的疗效分析[J]. 中国肿瘤临床与康复, 2016, 23(2): 203-205

(上接第 653 页)

- [18] Choi S, Kim K, Chang J, et al. Association of Chronic Periodontitis on Alzheimer's Disease or Vascular Dementia [J]. J Am Geriatr Soc, 2019, 67(6): 1234-1239
- [19] Deng ZL, Szafrański SP, Jarek M, et al. Dysbiosis in chronic periodontitis: Key microbial players and interactions with the human host [J]. Sci Rep, 2017, 7(1): 3703
- [20] Invernici MM, Salvador SL, Silva PHF, et al. Effects of Bifidobacterium probiotic on the treatment of chronic periodontitis: A randomized clinical trial[J]. J Clin Periodontol, 2018, 45(10): 1198-1210
- [21] Jiang X, Liang X, Wang S, et al. An injectable in situ hexagonal mesophase system for local delivery of minocycline hydrochloride: Preparation and pharmacodynamics in rats [J]. Pharmazie, 2017, 72(5): 249-256
- [22] Cha JK, Lee JS, Kim CS. Surgical Therapy of Peri-Implantitis with Local Minocycline: A 6-Month Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial [J]. J Dent Res, 2019, 98(3): 288-295
- [23] Mou J, Liu Z, Liu J, et al. Hydrogel containing minocycline and zinc oxide-loaded serum albumin nanoparticle for periodontitis application: preparation, characterization and evaluation [J]. Drug Deliv, 2019, 26(1): 179-187
- [24] Zheng XY, Mao CY, Qiao H, et al. Plumbagin suppresses chronic periodontitis in rats via down-regulation of TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 expression[J]. Acta Pharmacol Sin, 2017, 38(8): 1150-1160
- [25] Kaczyński T, Wroński J, Głusko P, et al. Salivary interleukin 6, interleukin 8, interleukin 17A, and tumour necrosis factor α levels in patients with periodontitis and rheumatoid arthritis[J]. Cent Eur J Immunol, 2019, 44(3): 269-276
- [26] Gawron K, Wojtowicz W, Łazarz-Bartyzel K, et al. Metabolomic Status of The Oral Cavity in Chronic Periodontitis [J]. In Vivo, 2019, 33(4): 1165-1174
- [27] Sezer U, Üstün K, Şenyurt SZ, et al. Relationship between alexithymia and chronic periodontitis [J]. Niger J Clin Pract, 2017, 20(5): 530-536
- [28] Abbas S, Mahendra J, Ari G. Minocycline Ointment as a Local Drug Delivery in the Treatment of Generalized Chronic Periodontitis - A Clinical Study[J]. J Clin Diagn Res, 2016, 10(6): ZC15-ZC19
- [29] 杨博, 张思蒙, 曹雯. 盐酸米诺环素软膏联合超声洁治对慢性牙周炎患者牙周组织状况、复发率的影响 [J]. 检验医学与临床, 2020, 17(4): 547-549
- [30] 黄兵兵, 吴珊珊, 车方梅. 盐酸米诺环素软膏联合头孢拉定胶囊治疗牙周病患者的临床研究 [J]. 中国临床药理学杂志, 2020, 36(3): 234-236