

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2020.16.036

不同肝血流阻断方式肝切除手术对原发性肝癌合并肝硬化患者肝功能及肠黏膜屏障的影响*

莫庆荣¹ 翁俊¹ 李淑群¹ 喻亚群¹ 索丽雅¹ 龚文锋²

(1 桂林医学院附属医院肝胆胰外科 广西桂林 541001;2 广西医科大学附属肿瘤医院肝胆胰脾外科 广西南宁 530021)

摘要 目的:探讨肝切除手术运用 Pringle 法阻断、半肝血流阻断(HVC)后对原发性肝癌合并肝硬化患者肝功能及肠黏膜屏障的影响。方法:选取 2016 年 4 月~2019 年 9 月期间我院收治的原发性肝癌合并肝硬化患者 93 例,根据随机数字表法将患者分为 A 组(n=46,Pringle 法阻断)和 B 组(n=47,HVC),比较两组患者围术期指标、肝功能指标[谷丙转氨酶(ALT)、谷草转氨酶(AST)以及总胆红素(TBIL)]、肠黏膜屏障指标[D- 乳酸,内毒素]及并发症发生情况。结果:两组阻断时间、术中失血量、手术时间比较无差异($P>0.05$);B 组住院时间短于 A 组($P<0.05$)。两组术前、术后 3 d、术后 7 d ALT、AST、TBIL 呈升高后降低趋势,且 B 组低于 A 组($P<0.05$)。两组患者术后并发症发生率比较无差异($P>0.05$)。两组术前、术后 3 d、术后 7 d D- 乳酸、内毒素呈升高后降低趋势,且 B 组低于 A 组($P<0.05$)。结论:与 Pringle 法阻断相比,原发性肝癌合并肝硬化患者在肝切除手术中运用 HVC,可有效缩短住院时间,减轻肝功能及肠黏膜屏障损害,且不增加并发症发生率,临床应用价值较高。

关键词:Pringle 法阻断;半肝血流阻断;原发性肝癌;肝硬化;肝功能;肠黏膜屏障

中图分类号:R735.7 **文献标识码:**A **文章编号:**1673-6273(2020)16-3164-04

Effect of Hepatectomy with Different Hepatic Blood Flow Blocking Methods on Liver Function and Intestinal Mucosal Barrier in Patients with Primary Liver Cancer and Cirrhosis*

MO Qing-rong¹, WENG Jun¹, LI Shu-qun¹, YU Ya-qun¹, SUO Li-ya¹, GONG Wen-feng²

(1 Department of Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Guilin Medical College, Guilin, Guangxi, 541001, China;

2 Department of Hepatobiliary Pancreaticosplenic Surgery, Cancer Hospital Affiliated to Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, 530021, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To investigate the effect of different hepatic blood flow blocking methods of hepatectomy on liver function and intestinal mucosal barrier in patients with primary liver cancer and cirrhosis. **Methods:** 93 patients with primary liver cancer and cirrhosis who were treated in our hospital from April 2016 to September 2019 were selected, they were divided into group A (n=46, Pringle method) and group B (n=47, HVC) according to the random number table method. Perioperative indexes, liver function indexes [alanine aminotransferase (ALT), transglutaminase (AST), total bilirubin (TBIL)], intestinal mucosal barrier indexes [D-lactate, endotoxin] and complications were compared between the two groups. **Results:** There was no significant difference in intraoperative block time, blood loss and operation time between the two groups ($P>0.05$), the hospitalization time of group B was shorter than that of group A ($P<0.05$). The ALT, AST and TBIL in the two groups were increased and decreased 3 d and 7 d after operation, and the level in group B was lower than that in group A ($P<0.05$). There was no difference in the incidence of postoperative complications between the two groups ($P>0.05$). The levels of D-lactate and endotoxin in group B were lower than those in group A ($P<0.05$). **Conclusion:** Compared with Pringle method, HVC can effectively shorten the hospitalization time, reduce the damage of liver function and intestinal mucosa barrier, and do not increase the incidence of complications, so it has a higher clinical application value.

Key words: Pringle block; Hemihepatic Blood flow block; Primary liver cancer; Liver cirrhosis; Liver function; Intestinal mucosal barrier

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R735.7 **Document code:** A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2020)16-3164-04

前言

原发性肝癌是临床常见的消化道恶性肿瘤,我国每年新增肝癌病例数约占全球每年新增肝癌病例数的一半^[1]。该病早期

* 基金项目:国家自然科学基金面上项目(31571184);广西壮族自治区卫生和计划生育委员会自筹经费科研项目(Z20170117)

作者简介:莫庆荣(1981-),男,硕士,主治医师,研究方向:肝胆胰外科治疗,E-mail: 13978322646@139.com

(收稿日期:2020-02-27 接受日期:2020-03-24)

发病隐匿,无特异性症状,不少患者确诊时已处于中晚期,死亡率高^[2,3]。根据最新流行病学调查研究可知^[4],超过90%的原发性肝癌患者伴有病毒性肝炎且存在不同程度的肝硬化。肝切除手术是治疗该病的主要方法,可有效改善患者预后,但因为肝脏血流丰富,术中出血量的大小可直接影响患者手术疗效^[5,6]。Pringle法阻断^[7]、半肝血流阻断(Hemihepatic vascular occlusion,HVC)^[8]均是临床常见的血流阻断方式,但关于两种阻断方式的具体疗效孰优孰劣尚存在一定的争议。鉴于此,本研究通过探讨肝切除手术运用Pringle法阻断、半肝血流阻断(HVC)后对原发性肝癌合并肝硬化患者的影响,以期为临床原发性肝癌合并肝硬化患者行肝切除术时血流阻断方式的选择提供数据支持,现报道如下。

1 资料与方法

1.1 一般资料

选取2016年4月~2019年9月期间我院收治的原发性肝癌合并肝硬化患者93例,纳入标准:纳入标准:(1)术前超声、CT、MRI证实肝占位、肝硬化;(2)术后病理检查证实原发性肝癌合并肝硬化;(3)术前未接受放疗、化疗及介入治疗者;(4)患者及其(或被委托)家属知情本研究且签署了同意书;(5)均符合手术指征者。排除标准:(1)术前存在肝内/肝外转移、肿瘤侵及腔静脉以及伴门脉及肝静脉癌栓;(2)合并其他恶性肿瘤者;(3)术后严重并发症甚至行二次手术者;(4)合并心肝肾等脏器功能不全者;(5)合并凝血功能障碍者。根据随机数字表法将患者分为A组(n=46,Pringle法阻断)和B组(n=47,HVC),其中A组男38例,女8例;年龄46~71岁,平均(59.82±5.41)岁;肝功能Child分级A级32例,B级14例;肿瘤大小1~8cm,平均(4.34±0.86)cm;体质量指数20.4~26.8kg/m²,平均(23.29±0.88)kg/m²。B组男39例,女8例;年龄45~73岁,平均(60.18±4.97)岁;肝功能Child分级A级33例,B级14例;肿瘤大小2~8cm,平均(4.17±0.98)cm;体质量指数20.8~26.3kg/m²,平均(23.51±0.74)kg/m²。两组一般资料

对比未见统计学差异($P>0.05$),具有可比性。本次研究已获取我院医学伦理学委员会批准进行。

1.2 方法

A组给予Pringle法阻断,游离肝脏后经文氏孔绕过肝十二指肠韧带乳胶管,定位切除范围后,将十二指肠韧带扎紧,阻断全部肝蒂血供,切除肝肿瘤。一次阻断时间不超过20min,若超过20min,则给予间歇性阻断,最多阻断2次,间隔时间>5min。B组给予HVC,游离肝脏,暴露十二指肠韧带,采用组织剪等游离肝左右动脉,随后采用血管夹阻断病侧半肝动脉。肝固有动脉深面使用一根乳胶管经文氏孔连接肝十二指肠韧带,切除肝脏肿瘤。

1.3 观察指标

(1)记录两组阻断时间、术中失血量、住院时间、手术时间。(2)记录术后并发症发生情况。(3)分别采集两组患者术前、术后3d、术后7d晨起空腹静脉血5mL,经3200r/min离心16min,离心半径12cm,取上清液,冻存于-40℃冰箱中。采用罗氏Modular全自动生化分析仪检测肝功能指标:谷丙转氨酶(Alanine aminotransferase,ALT)、谷草转氨酶(Glutamic oxaloacetylase,AST)、以及总胆红素(Total bilirubin,TBIL)。采用酶学分光光度法检测D-乳酸,采用偶氮显色法鲎试验定量测定内毒素浓度,均严格遵守说明书进行操作(试剂盒购自深圳芬德生物技术有限公司)。

1.4 统计学方法

采用SPSS25.0软件进行统计分析,计数资料以比或率的形式表示,采用 χ^2 检验,计量资料以($\bar{x}\pm s$)的形式表示,采用t检验。以 $P<0.05$ 为差异有统计学意义。

2 结果

2.1 两组围术期指标比较

两组阻断时间、术中失血量、手术时间比较无差异($P>0.05$);B组住院时间短于A组($P<0.05$);详见表1。

表1 两组围术期指标比较($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Table 1 Comparison of perioperative indexes between the two groups($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Groups	Intraoperative blood loss (mL)	Blocking time(min)	Operative time(min)	Length of stay(d)
Group A(n=46)	414.58±23.41	21.46±1.44	150.84±10.57	12.32±1.25
Group B(n=47)	413.97±22.39	20.98±1.63	152.92±9.51	8.41±0.97
t	0.128	1.504	0.998	16.874
P	0.898	0.136	0.321	0.000

2.2 两组肝功能指标比较

两组术前ALT、AST、TBIL比较无差异($P>0.05$);两组术前、术后3d、术后7d ALT、AST、TBIL呈升高后降低趋势,且B组低于A组($P<0.05$);详见表2。

2.3 两组肠粘膜屏障功能指标比较

两组术前D-乳酸、内毒素比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$);两组术前、术后3d、术后7d D-乳酸、内毒素呈升高后降低趋势,且B组低于A组($P<0.05$);详见表3。

2.4 两组并发症发生率比较

A组术后出现肺部感染2例、胆漏1例、腹腔积液3例,并发症发生率为13.04%(6/46);B组术后出现肺部感染1例、胆漏1例、腹腔积液2例,并发症发生率为8.51%(4/47);两组患者术后并发症发生率比较无差异($\chi^2=0.498,P=0.480$)。

3 讨论

肝脏表面包括肝十二指肠韧带以及肝胃韧带,解剖结构复

表 2 两组肝功能指标比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)Table 2 Comparison of liver function indexes between the two groups($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	ALT(U/L)			AST(U/L)			TBIL(μmol/L)		
	Before operation	3 d after operation	7 d after operation	Before operation	3 d after operation	7 d after operation	Before operation	3 d after operation	7 d after operation
Group A (n=46)	52.64± 6.33	482.96± 29.13 ^a	366.90± 22.78 ^{ab}	17.65± 2.29	45.63± 3.49 ^a	36.28± 4.48 ^{ab}	20.85± 2.43	48.54± 2.64 ^a	33.07± 3.35 ^{ab}
Group B (n=47)	51.98± 7.47	375.82± 29.28 ^a	241.69± 18.16 ^{ab}	17.92± 2.32	37.32± 4.82 ^a	21.34± 4.51 ^{ab}	19.93± 2.38	36.49± 2.93 ^a	26.10± 3.27 ^{ab}
t	0.459	17.688	26.841	0.565	21.538	26.726	1.845	20.822	10.154
P	0.647	0.000	0.000	0.574	0.000	0.000	0.068	0.000	0.000

Notes: compared with before operation, ^aP<0.05; compared with 3 d after operation, ^bP<0.05.表 3 两组肠黏膜屏障功能指标比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)Table 3 Comparison of intestinal barrier function between the two groups($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	D-lactic acid(μg/mL)			Endotoxin(μg/mL)		
	Before operation	3 d after operation	7 d after operation	Before operation	3 d after operation	7 d after operation
Group A(n=46)	0.47± 0.08	17.66± 2.53 ^a	12.65± 2.67 ^{ab}	0.06± 0.01	0.19± 0.03 ^a	0.14± 0.02 ^{ab}
Group B(n=47)	0.49± 0.09	13.95± 3.24 ^a	7.82± 1.39 ^{ab}	0.07± 0.01	0.15± 0.02 ^a	0.09± 0.01 ^{ab}
t	1.132	6.146	10.976	4.822	7.581	15.298
P	0.261	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Notes: compared with before operation, ^aP<0.05; compared with 3d after operation, ^bP<0.05.

杂, 血液供给丰富^[9-11]。我国作为原发性肝癌多发大国, 原发性肝癌一直是影响我国人民生命健康的重要公共卫生问题。肝切除手术是治疗肝癌的主要方法, 但以往研究表明^[12-13], 原发性肝癌患者多合并乙肝或肝硬化, 肝功能储备能力较差。此时, 合理的控制入肝血流可为肝切除手术的顺利进行提供便利, 但入肝血流的截断也会造成不同程度的肝功能损伤。Pringle 法阻断技术始于 1908 年, 此类阻断技术可完全阻断肝动脉及门动脉入肝血流, 可避免长时间缺血导致的继发损伤, 止血迅速^[14,15]。但不少临床实践发现 Pringle 法几乎阻断了所有的入肝血流, 一定程度上影响肝脏功能失去代偿能力, 严重者甚至可影响肠黏膜屏障功能^[16,17]。HVC 则于 1987 年问世, 此类阻断技术可保留健侧半肝正常血流, 选择性的切断患侧半肝静脉和动脉入肝血流, 为精细手术的操作争取更多时间^[18-20]。

本次研究结果显示, 两组术中失血量、阻断时间、手术时间比较无差异, 但 B 组住院时间短于 A 组。可见 HVC 与 Pringle 法阻断手术操作难度大致相当, 同时 HVC 可促进患者术后恢复。这可能是因为 HVC 可为正常健侧肝脏组织提供正常的血液供应, 减少对肝脏的缺血再灌注损伤, 加快患者术后恢复^[21,22]。进一步检测肝功能指标发现, 两组患者术后均有不同程度的肝功能损伤, 但 HVC 的肝功能损伤程度明显更轻。究其原因, HVC 在减少出血的同时还可减少对肝脏的缺血再灌注损伤, 不造成内脏充血及血液动力学重大改变, 可避免肝功能的进一步损害, 使肝脏功能继续代偿^[23-25]。肠道作为外科应激反应的中心器官, 机体应激过度或失调均可累及肠黏膜屏障^[26]。既往动物实验证实进行门静脉阻断等肝胆外科操作时会导致细菌易位, 且肠道细菌易位与阻断时间、肠道淤血程度等息息相关^[27]。本研究结果显示 HVC 可有效减轻肠黏膜屏障损害。究其原因,

Pringle 法可导致门静脉完全阻断, 影响肠道血液回流, 导致肠黏膜绒毛出现缺血、缺氧状况, 引起肠黏膜屏障损害, 加之 Pringle 法无法一次性完成肝切除手术, 需多次、交替阻断, 肝脏的缺血再灌注损伤又进一步加重黏膜机械性损伤^[28,29]。而 HVC 可使肠黏膜的血流通过健侧肝脏回流入体循环, 避免出现肝脏失代偿后造成的肠黏膜损伤现象^[30]。另两组术后并发症发生率比较无差异, 可见 Pringle 法阻断、HVC 均安全可靠。此外, HVC 需要分离肝门, 并且在阻断肝血流时, 未阻断半肝平面会持续性出血, 对术者具有较高的操作要求。术者应根据视患者具体情况灵活运用肝血流阻断术, 尽可能减少术中损伤, 促进患者术后恢复。

综上所述, 与 Pringle 法阻断相比, 原发性肝癌合并肝硬化患者在肝切除手术中运用 HVC, 可有效缩短住院时间, 减轻肝功能及肠黏膜屏障损害, 且不增加并发症发生率, 临床应用价值较高。

参考文献(References)

- 梁鹏峰, 张海鹏, 范明, 等. 不同方式治疗原发性肝癌合并门静脉癌栓的治疗效果比较 [J]. 现代生物医学进展, 2017, 17(29): 5765-5768, 5776
- Kwan SY, Jiao J, Qi J, et al. Bile Acid Changes Associated with Liver Fibrosis and Steatosis in the Mexican-American Population of South Texas[J]. Hepatol Commun, 2020, 4(4): 555-568
- Orjuela S, Menigatti M, Schraml P, et al. The DNA hypermethylation phenotype of colorectal cancer liver metastases resembles that of the primary colorectal cancers[J]. BMC Cancer, 2020, 20(1): 290
- Li A, Ma S, Pawlik T, et al. Surgical treatment of double primary liver cancer: An observational study for a rare type of tumor [J]. Medicine

- (Baltimore), 2016, 95(32): e4412
- [5] Colak D, Al-Harazi O, Mustafa OM, et al. RNA-Seq transcriptome profiling in three liver regeneration models in rats: comparative analysis of partial hepatectomy, ALLPS, and PVL [J]. *Sci Rep*, 2020, 10(1): 5213
- [6] Iida H, Maehira H, Mori H, et al. Post-hepatectomy tolvaptan-induced hypernatremia in a hepatocellular carcinoma patient with cirrhosis: a case report[J]. *Surg Case Rep*, 2020, 6(1): 61
- [7] Pomposelli JJ, Akoad M, Flacke S, et al. Feasibility of bloodless liver resection using Lumagel, a reverse thermoplastic polymer, to produce temporary, targeted hepatic blood flow interruption[J]. *HPB (Oxford)*, 2012, 14(2): 115-121
- [8] 蔡鸿宇, 邵冰峰, 周元, 等. HBV 相关性肝癌手术治疗中选择性半肝血流阻断与 Pringle 法血流阻断的应用对比观察 [J]. 山东医药, 2019, 59(6): 53-55
- [9] Kurbatov V, Resio BJ, Cama CA, et al. Liver-first approach to stage IV colon cancer with synchronous isolated liver metastases [J]. *J Gastrointest Oncol*, 2020, 11(1): 76-83
- [10] Miura S, Ito K, Takemura N, et al. Complete remission of multiple liver metastases with only partial response of the primary rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy[J]. *Surg Case Rep*, 2020, 6(1): 46
- [11] Kawahara K, Makino H, Kametaka H, et al. Outcomes of surgical resection for gastric cancer liver metastases: a retrospective analysis[J]. *World J Surg Oncol*, 2020, 18(1): 41
- [12] 郭俊霞, 魏晓华. 乙肝肝硬化患者合并原发性肝癌的危险因素及预后分析[J]. 陕西医学杂志, 2019, 48(7): 850-852
- [13] 焦娇, 郭意男, 章国君, 等. 乙肝肝硬化进展原发性肝癌的危险因素研究[J]. 预防医学, 2019, 31(4): 363-366, 370
- [14] Yoshiya S, Yoshizumi T, Iseda N, et al. Anastomosis of the Common Hepatic Artery and Round Ligament as Portal Vein Arterialization for Hepatic Artery Occlusion After Deceased Donor Liver Transplantation: A Case Report[J]. *Transplant Proc*, 2020, 52(2): 641-643
- [15] Izawa Y, Hishikawa S, Matsumura Y, et al. Blood flow of the venous system during resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta: Noninvasive evaluation using phase contrast magnetic resonance imaging[J]. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*, 2020, 88(2): 305-309
- [16] Nakatsuka T, Soroda Y, Nakagawa H, et al. Utility of hepatic vein waveform and transient elastography in patients with Budd-Chiari syndrome who require angioplasty: Two case reports [J]. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 2019, 98(45): e17877
- [17] Inaba Y, Yamaura H, Sato Y, et al. Side-Hole Catheter Placement with Fixation and Embolization in Common Hepatic Artery for Hepatic Arterial Infusion Chemotherapy (Modified CHA-Coil Method) for Patients with Celiac Artery Stenosis or Occlusion [J]. *Cardiovasc Interv Radiol*, 2015, 38(6): 1621-1626
- [18] Meijer TS, Geus-Oei LF, Martini CH, et al. Embolization of variant hepatic arteries in patients undergoing percutaneous hepatic perfusion for unresectable liver metastases from ocular melanoma[J]. *Diagn Interv Radiol*, 2019, 25(6): 451-458
- [19] Meek J, Fletcher S, Gauss CH, et al. Temporary Balloon Occlusion for Hepatic Arterial Flow Redistribution during Yttrium-90 Radioembolization[J]. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*, 2019, 30(8): 1201-1206
- [20] Inoue A, Ota S, Takaki K, et al. Change in hepatic hemodynamics assessed by hepatic arterial blood pressure and computed tomography during hepatic angiography with the double balloon technique[J]. *Jpn J Radiol*, 2019, 37(6): 487-493
- [21] Güven F, Karaca L, Ogul H, et al. The Value of Superb Microvascular Imaging in Detecting Hepatic Artery Occlusion in Liver Transplantation: A Preliminary Study [J]. *Ultrasound Q*, 2019, 35 (4): 325-329
- [22] Zhao R, Liu F, Jia C, et al. Hepatic Pedicle Occlusion with the Pringle Maneuver During Difficult Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Reduces the Conversion Rate[J]. *World J Surg*, 2019, 43(1): 207-213
- [23] Kong Z, Hu JJ, Ge XL, et al. Reserving hepatic artery flow during portal triad blood occlusion improves regeneration of the remnant liver in rats with obstructive jaundice following partial hepatectomy [J]. *Exp Ther Med*, 2018, 16(3): 1910-1918
- [24] Oberkofler CE, Reese T, Raptis DA, et al. Hepatic artery occlusion in liver transplantation: What counts more, the type of reconstruction or the severity of the recipient's disease?[J]. *Liver Transpl*, 2018, 24(6): 790-802
- [25] Kako Y, Yamakado K, Jomoto W, et al. Changes in liver perfusion and function before and after percutaneous occlusion of spontaneous portosystemic shunt[J]. *Jpn J Radiol*, 2017, 35(7): 366-372
- [26] 张崇, 沈思恩, 王明辉, 等. 直接行腹腔镜胆囊切除术与联合经皮经肝胆囊穿刺引流治疗急性重症胆囊炎的荟萃分析[J]. 中华肝胆外科杂志, 2019, 25(12): 910-914
- [27] 宋阳, 李宁. 门静脉阻断致大鼠肠源性感染及微生物酵素的保护作用[J]. 中华医院感染学杂志, 2010, 20(18): 2752-2754
- [28] Huang B, Yu Y, Zhao S, et al. Precise hepatectomy based on the optimized technique of hepatic blood flow occlusion combined with the curettage and cut technique by electrotome[J]. *Minerva Chir*, 2017, 72 (1): 1-9
- [29] Hatzidakis A, Kouroumalis E, Kehagias E, et al. Acute TIPS?occlusion due to iatrogenic arteriovenous shunt in a cirrhotic patient with total portal vein thrombosis [J]. *Interv Med Appl Sci*, 2015, 7 (4): 166-170
- [30] Hulin J, Aslanian P, Desjardins G, et al. The Critical Importance of Hepatic Venous Blood Flow Doppler Assessment for Patients in Shock[J]. *A Case Rep*, 2016, 6(5): 114-120